



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,877	01/26/2001	Jeffrey Bruce Lotspiech	ARC92001005US1	6667
7:	590 10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
John L. Rogitz			NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T	
Rogitz & Associated Associated Rogitz & Associ			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92101		2137		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	WF
	09/770,877	LOTSPIECH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Dieu Nguyen	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 July 2004		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal mat		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	89-94 is/are withdrawn from illowed. ,41-46,48,50,51,61,95,96 and 9 and 52-60 is/are objected t	<u>d 98</u> is/are rejected.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	=		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	'	· · · · ·	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment dated July 8, 2004 that amended claims 41, 65, 70, 73-75 and 77; cancelled claims 44b, 68 and 82; and added new claim 98.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed July 8, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 21, 41, 61 and their dependent claims have been fully considered. Upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Srivastava (6,684,331), Schwenk (6,222,923), Van Rijnsoever et al. (2002/0090090), and Akins, III et al. (6,560,340).

The 35 USC 112 is overcome by the amendment on claim 41.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2137

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-11, 19, 23-26, 28, 30-31, 39, 41-46, 48, 50-51, 61, 95-96 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srivastava, US Patent 6,684,331 in view of Schwenk, US Patent 6,222,923.
- a) As to claims 1 and 41-42, Srivastava discloses a method and apparatus for distributing and updating group controllers or multicast service agents over a wide area network based on a tree structure comprising assigning each user in a group of users respective private information I.sub.u which reads on private key (Fig. 2A, elements A-H; col. 2, lines 17-18); selecting at least one session encryption key K (col. 2, lines 37-41); encrypting the session key K, which reads on group key GK, with the subset keys L.sub.i1 to L.sub.im to render m encrypted versions of the session key K (Fig. 5). Srivastava discloses Diffie-Hellman protocol in Fig. 5, where subset keys reads on shared private key, however, it is well-known in the cryptography area that asymmetric algorithm is used to generate a ciphertext (col. 2, lines 1-8), wherein the sender encrypts the session key with the recipients public keys, which reads on the subset keys L.sub.i1 to L.sub.im. Srivastava does not teach partitioning users not in a revoked set R into disjoint subsets S.sub.i1 to S.sub.im having associated subset keys L.sub.i1 to L.sub.im.

Schwenk discloses a method of securing a pay TV system protected by a predefined hierarchy of cryptographic keys against unauthorized users comprising the

Art Unit: 2137

step of partitioning not in a revoked set into disjoint subsets having associated subset keys (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of partitioning users not in a revoked set into disjoint subsets, as Schwenk teaches, in the system of Srivastava, so as to provide a real, complete structure of a system with better way of handling cryptographic keys for authorized users (non-revoked users) and unauthorized users (revoked users).

- b) As to claims 2-3, 23, and 43-44, Srivastava discloses the method further comprising partitioning the users into groups S.sub.1 to S.sub.w, wherein "w" is an integer, and the groups establish subtrees in a tree wherein the tree is a complete binary tree (Fig. 5).
- c) As to claims 4-5, 24-25, 45 and 98, Srivastava discloses the method further comprising using private information I.sub.u to decrypte the session key (col. 16, lines 30-32). Srivastava discloses Diffie-Hellman protocol in Fig. 5, where subset keys reads on shared private key, however, it is well-known in the cryptography area that asymmetric algorithm is used to generate a ciphertext (col. 2, lines 1-8), wherein the sender encrypts the session key with the recipients public keys, which reads on the subset keys L.sub.i1 to L.sub.im. and the recipient decrypts the session key with the recipient's private key which reads on private information I.sub.u.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,877

Art Unit: 2137

d) As to claims 6, 26 and 46, Srivastava discloses the method wherein each subset S.sub.i1 to S.sub.im includes all leaves in a subtree rooted at some node v.sub.i, at least each node in the subtree being associated with a respective subset key (Fig. 5, elements A-H, 507, 509, 511 and 513).

Page 5

- e) As to claims 8, 28, and 48, Srivastava discloses the method wherein each user must store log N keys, wherein N is the total number of users (col. 16, lines 17-19).
- f) As to claims 10, 30, and 50, Srivastava discloses the method wherein the total number of users defines a spanning tree, and subtrees having roots attached to nodes of the spanning tree define the subsets. The revoked set R is a subset in the total number of users N, it is inherently understood that the same structure applied to the revoked set as well as to the total number of users.
- g) As to claims 11, 31, and 51, Srivastava discloses the method wherein the tree includes a root (Fig. 5, element 501) and plural nodes (Fig. 5, elements 501, 503, 505, etc.), each node having at least one associated label (Fig. 5, elements 1, 2, etc.) and wherein each subset includes all leaves (Fig. 5, elements 11, A, B) in a subtree rooted at some node v.sub.i (Fig. 5, element 503) that are not in the subtree rooted at some other node v.sub.j (Fig. 5, element 509) that descends from v.sub.i.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,877

Art Unit: 2137

h) As to claims 19 and 39, Srivastava discloses the method wherein the tree includes a root and plural nodes, each node having an associated key, and wherein each user is assigned keys from all nodes in a direct path between a leaf representing the user and the root (Fig. 5).

Page 6

- i) As to claim 61, see the above addressed claims 1, 2 and 11.
- I) As to claims 95 and 96, Swenk discloses the computer wherein the act of partitioning is undertaken by a system computer (Fig. 6).
- 5. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srivastava, US Patent 6,684,331 in view of Schwenk, US Patent 6,222,923, in view of Van Rijnsoever et al., US 2002/0090090 and further in view of Akins, III et al. US Patent 6,560,340.
- a) As to claim 21, Srivastava discloses a computer program device (Fig. 8) comprising a computer program storage device including a program of instruction usable by a computer comprising logic means for accessing a tree to identify plural subset keys; logic means for encrypting a message with a session key; logic means for encrypting the session key at least once with each of the subset keys to render encrypted versions of the session key (Fig. 5). Srivastava and Schwenk do not teach logic means for sending the encrypted versions of the session key in a header of the message to plural stateless receivers.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,877 Page 7

Art Unit: 2137

Van Rijnsoever discloses a transmission system where data is transmitted to a plurality of receivers and the encrypted session key is sent in a header of the message to plural receivers (page 2, paragraph [0017]). Van Rijnsoever mentions the encrypted session key is embedded in an IP packet, he does not explicitly state in the header of the packet.

Akins explicitly discloses the encrypted session key is sent in a header of the message (col. 17, lines 66-67 to col. 18, lines 1-13; Fig. 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of sending the encrypted session key in the message header to plural receivers, as Van Rijnsoever and Akins teach, in the system of Srivastava and Schwenk, so as to provide conditional access to data services (page 2, paragraph [0017]).

b) **As to claim 22**, Schwenk discloses the computer program further comprising logic means for partitioning receivers not in a revoked set R into disjoint subsets S.sub.i1 to S.sub.im having associated subset keys L.sub.i1 to L.sub.im (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35-42).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Amended claims 65-67, 69-81, 83-88, and 97 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2137

7. Claims 7, 9, 12-18, 20, 27, 29, 32-38, 40, 47, 49, and 52-60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-9727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 703-306-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Minh Dieu Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2137

(I'mohew Galdert)